Name	PGS
Name	L(2)

YouTube Skepticism

Is Global Warming Pseudoscience?

Video: v=SXxHfb66ZgM - Nobel Laureate in Physics; "Global Warming is Pseudoscience" [33m]

I. The speaker, Ivar Gi A. meteorologist famil B. climatologist familio C. atmospheric scient D. physicist who doesi	liar with everyday ar with long-term iist specializing in	weather pattern climate trends. temperature date	a.		
2. What is his research A. a 50-year career in B. an extensive review C. a day or so on Goo	climate science of published liter		_		
3. In this speech at a conference to a large audience, Giaever claims that global warming is a					
new religion because	you cannot		about it	.	
1. Giaever says scienc _real science _sociopathic science	patholo	ogical science	frau	ntifies. dulent science udoscience	
5. Which accepted definition of "pseudoscience" does Giaever present on his slide? A. "a collection of beliefs or practices mistakenly regarded as being based on scientific method."					
3. "a system of theorie C. Both of these dictio D. Neither of these—ho	nary definitions a	re presented.	neously regarded	as scientific."	
3. At this point in the sposeudoscience?" A. yes	peech, what is Gi B. no	aever's answer to C. maybe	o his own question	n, "Is global warming D. you be the judge	
7. Giaever begins his a A. chemistry		stioning the valid C. peace			
3. He continues by rejo A. barometric pressure	•		data. emperature	D. wind	
7. Giaever's personal i remained remarkably	=	_		has ne doubts in question 8.	
10. Giaever argues that water vapor is a much more potent greenhouse gas than carbon dioxide because A. so many peer-reviewed scientific studies have reached this conclusion. B. unpublished work that he conducted in his own lab has demonstrated this repeatedly. C. if you look out a window, you can see clouds, but you cannot see carbon dioxide.					
11. Because he cannot see the correlation between CO ₂ and temperature shown clearly in climate data, Giaever concludes A. his scientific analysis skills leave much to be desired. B. he's as heroic as the storybook boy who declared "The Emperor has no clothes". C. he should probably delete his account. Now. D. The Nobel committee was wrong to give him an award in any science.					
12. Giaever speculate mentioned) _increased human pe	_	al temperature management	ay be due to (sele	ect all that aredeforestation	

 13. Giaever characterizes Nobel Prize physicist, Steven Chu (then US Energy Secretary) as A. bought by the global warming people. B. a scientist of impeccable integrity. C. an unapologetic oil-industry cheerleader.
14. Giaever resigned from the American Physical Society (an academic organization for research physicists) because they state the evidence for global warming is
15. Giaever rejects the American Physical Society's prediction of negative effects from global warming because the Earth has become A. worse in the past 150 years. B. better in the past 150 years.
 16. To refute the report that there were twice as many weather-related deaths in 2010 than there were in 2009, Giaever A. displays weather-related death data showing there was actually a decline in 2010. B. displays weather-related death data showing the 2010 spike was an anomalous fluke. C. moves on without presenting any evidence to so much as question the report.
 17. To cast doubt on the Rio de Janeiro conference on sustainable development, Giaever A. offers nothing: he actually agrees with sustainable development. B. shows his audience private email messages that undermine the conference's goals. C. displays a list of conference benefactors, who turn out to be fossil fuel industry giants.
18. Giaever states that CO ₂ (carbon dioxide) is not
19. (0.8/100) = historical rate of temperature increase 14 = year from 1998 to 2012 Carbon dioxide does not factor in this calculation. Giaever argues global warming does not exist
because his data indicates that 2012 was than 1998.
20. Giaever rejects global temperature data because there are only 8 stations A. above 60° north B. below 60° south C. between 30° north and 30° south
21. What is the optimal temperature for the Earth? A. two degrees warmer B. two degrees cooler C. Giaever doesn't know
 22. Giaever goes off on a tangent to express his _?_ corn-based ethanol added to gasoline. A. support for B. opposition to C. mixed pro and con feelings
23. With more CO ₂ in the atmosphere, plants grow
 24. Earlier, Giaever criticized pseudoscience for picking and choosing data. Now he A. picks and chooses data to present B. presents fair and balanced data
25. Giaever rejects data regarding body size shrinkage related to global warming becauseA. most organisms are actually getting bigger.B. humans are getting heavier.
At this point in the talk, Giaever presents "straw man" positions—exaggerations that cannot be accepted as stated. One example is his plucked-from-thin-air assertion "Remember everything is caused by global warming."
26. Giaever assures his audience that the climate has always changed. As an example, he cites A. Dust-bowl era Midwest US B. the flood of Noah C. both of these C. neither of these
27. If all the glaciers melt, the ocean will rise m.
If all the ice on Greenland melts, the ocean will rise m.
If all the ice on the South Pole melts, the ocean will rise m.
28. The inland Greenland data Giaever cites is dated in the mid-2000s and says inland ice is _?_ than it was 15 years prior. A. less than B. greater than
29. As evidence for greater inland ice in Greenland, temperature data skeptic, Giaever, cites A. GPS-based glacier thickness data B. high and low temperature records from Google

from? A. so-called scholarly journals filled with peer-reviewed studies. B. the proceedings of scientific conferences.				
C. newspapers. D. television.				
31. Giaever admits that sea level is rising, but assures his audience that the rise is not				
32. What is Giaever's argument about polar bears? Select all that are soundly argued. people—not climate change—are killing bears; there are more bears on Spitsbergen than there were in 1972 bears are protected from guys with guns, so there are more seals now than ever before. Actually, neither of these arguments are logically consistent.				
 33. Ilan Samson's problem suggests the impact of automobile emissions isn't so bad for the atmosphere. Which factor/s are NOT included in Samson's calculation? A. exponential growth of population and in automobiles in operation. B. man-made, non-automotive CO₂ sources, such as coal- and oil-burning power plants. C. Neither A nor B are included. D. Actually, both A and B are included; the Samson calculation is sound. 				
34. In Cosmos, Neil deGrasse Tyson tells us that human (industrial) activity puts 30,000,000 tons of CO2 into the atmosphere each year. Over the course of a human lifetime, that translates to				
2,400,000,000 tons. Giaever tells us that a human emits tons in a lifetime.				
35. Now Giaever turns toward admiration for a policy than limits carbon emissions: China's one-				
policy.				
40. His argument is that those who worry about global warming should advocate for _?_ policies. A. very popular B. very unpopular				
41. Which energy resources do/does Giaever <u>like?</u> Which do/does he <u>dislike?</u>				
NuclearSolarWind				
At this point, Giaever has gone off on a tangent of energy-production advocacy.				
42. The image of hungry third-world children seems to indicate that we are condemning them to				
starvation if we choose to waste money on				
43. Giaever likens the problem of horse management in New York City in 1900 to present-day				
aversion to power. We've left the realm of global warming to advocate for this—Giaever's preferred method of energy production. He is certain the future will vindicate his position on this matter, a matter which he seems quite taken with.				
44. Next, Giaever argues in favor of the acceptance of A. stasis (staying the same) B. change C. growing old				
45. For examples of this, he cites (select all that are shown) continental driftUS foreign policythe north polephotos of him with his wife				
46. The temperature-data skeptic next cites temperature and CO ₂ data to show that every				
100,000 years, there is a/n				
47. At this point in the speech, is Giaever content to let "you be the judge" as to whether or not global warming is pseudoscience? A. yes—his argument, alone, will convince you, so he's free to leave the question as rhetorical B. no—he will give an explicit answer in case his argument was incoherent and meandering				
For a thorough, science-based rebuttal to Giaever's presentation please see https://www.skepticalscience.com/ivar-aigever-nobel-physicist-climate-pseudoscientist.html				

30. Giaever rejects extreme weather alarmism. Where did the "this is the future" alarmism come